

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,001	11/19/2003	Walter Cornell	PC-1088DIV	7575
23717	7590 06/22/2005	EXAMINER		
	CES OF BRIAN S STEI	KATCHEVES, BASIL S		
101 BREVA	RD AVENUE			-
COCOA, FL 32922			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3635	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/717,001	CORNELL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Basil Katcheves	3635			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 April 2005</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20 and 27-30 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examino	er.				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) □ (=+== :	(PTO 442)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) tte atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group II in the reply filed on 4/25/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no separate search required since the groups are in class 52. However, applicant should note that class 52 is a very broad class and includes most construction components used with a wide variety of structures. This is not found persuasive because class 52 includes a large variety of patentably distinct building components.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 21-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims of U. S. Patent No. 6,679,025 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Claims 21, 25 and 26 are a substantial duplicate of claim 1 of '025.

Claim 22 is a substantial duplicate of claim 12 of '025.

Claim 23 is a substantial duplicate of claim 10 of '025.

Claim 24 is a substantial duplicate of claim 15 of '025.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21, 22 and 24-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,450,695 to Desai.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,001

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claim 21, Desai discloses a method of building a tower which includes a nip assembly (fig. 14: 34) attached to a top platform (fig. 14: 32), both the nip assembly and top platform simultaneously raised to build the tower (figs. 13 & 14).

Regarding claim 22, Desai discloses rails (fig. 8: see cross rails adjacent 28 & 30).

Regarding claim 24, Desai discloses the use of support columns (fig. 2: 66).

Regarding claim 25, Desai discloses a platform supported by columns (fig. 7) with an opening through, a nip assembly (fig. 7: 34) mounted to the support platform (fig. 7: 32), the nip and support platform lifted through the central opening (as shown in fig. 3A), and the support member attached to the platform (fig. 8).

Regarding claim 26, Desai discloses the nip pre attached to the support member before lifting.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,974,760 to Woolslayer et al.

Regarding claims 21, 22 and 24, Woolslayer discloses a method of assembling a tower by lifting, simultaneously, a nip assembly (fig. 3: 43) and top platform (fig. 3: 41) in one lift.

Regarding claim 22, Woolslayer discloses rails (fig. 3: cross rails adjacent 4).

Regarding claim 24, Woolslayer discloses the platform as having support columns (fig. 3: 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,450,695 to Desai.

Regarding claim 23, Desai does not disclose stairs. Desai discloses a ladder for allowing access to the tower. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Desai by adding stairs to the tower platform in order to improve access safety, as stairs are commonly found on towers to provide access.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited patents listed on the included form PTO-892 further show the state of the art with respect to ********* in general.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/717,001

Art Unit: 3635

Page 6

(571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

BK

Basil Katcheves

6/16/05

Primary Examiner AU 3635